

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
VOLUME I		
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		ES-1
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION		1-1
CHAPTER 2 STUDY OVERVIEW		2-1
2.1	INTRODUCTION.....	2-1
2.2	KEY OBJECTIVES, REQUIREMENTS, AND ASSUMPTIONS	2-2
2.3	SYSTEMS MODELING AND TRADE STUDIES	2-3
2.4	PROMETHEUS-L REACTOR PLANT DESIGN OVERVIEW.....	2-13
2.5	PROMETHEUS-H REACTOR PLANT DESIGN OVERVIEW	2-29
2.6	KEY TECHNICAL ISSUES AND R&D REQUIREMENTS.....	2-42
2.7	COMPARISON OF IFE DESIGNS	2-55
2.8	STUDY CONCLUSIONS.....	2-57
VOLUME II		
CHAPTER 3 OBJECTIVES, REQUIREMENTS, AND ASSUMPTIONS.....		3-1
3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	3-1
3.2	GENERAL GUIDELINES.....	3-1
3.3	TARGET AND DRIVER GUIDELINES.....	3-4
3.4	REACTOR SYSTEMS.....	3-15
3.5	ECONOMIC GUIDELINES.....	3-16
CHAPTER 4 RATIONALE FOR DESIGN OPTION SELECTION.....		4-1
4.1	SELECTION OF REACTOR SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY OPTIONS	4-1
4.2	KrF LASER DRIVER OPTIONS	4-16
4.3	RATIONALE FOR HEAVY ION DRIVER OPTIONS.....	4-38
4.4	CAVITY DESIGN OPTIONS.....	4-43
4.5	SELECTION CRITERIA FOR SiC/SiC COMPONENTS.....	4-50
4.6	TARGET SELECTION.....	4-59
4.7	DESIGN RATIONALE FOR THE GIMM	4-85
CHAPTER 5 KEY TECHNICAL ISSUES AND R&D REQUIREMENTS.....		5-1-1
5.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5-1-1
5.2	IDENTIFICATION OF KEY ISSUES	5-2-1
5.3	PROMETHEUS REACTOR DESIGN STUDY CRITICAL ISSUES.....	5-3-1
5.4	KEY ISSUES DESCRIPTION.....	5-4-1
5.5	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT.....	5-5-1
CHAPTER 6 CONCEPTUAL DESIGN SELECTION AND DESCRIPTION		6-1-1
6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	6-1-1
6.2	DESIGN POINT SELECTION.....	6-2-1
6.3	CONFIGURATION AND MAINTENANCE APPROACH	6-3-1
6.4	TARGET AND TARGET FABRICATION.....	6-4-1
VOLUME III		
6.5	DRIVER SYSTEM DEFINITION.....	6-5-1
6.6	VACUUM SYSTEM.....	6-6-1
6.7	FUEL PROCESSING SYSTEMS (FPS).....	6-7-1
6.8	CAVITY DESIGN AND ANALYSIS.....	6-8-1
6.9	HEAT TRANSPORT AND THERMAL ENERGY CONVERSION	6-9-1
6.10	BALANCE OF PLANT SYSTEMS.....	6-10-1
6.11	REMOTE MAINTENANCE SYSTEMS.....	6-11-1
6.12	SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT.....	6-12-1
6.13	ECONOMICS.....	6-13-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
	VOLUME III (CONT.)	
CHAPTER 7	COMPARISON OF IFE DESIGNS.....	7-1
7.1	INTRODUCTION.....	7-1
7.2	EVALUATION METHODOLOGY.....	7-2
7.3	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION RESULTS.....	7-12
APPENDIX A	PROMETHEUS-L BASELINE PARAMETER LIST	A-1
APPENDIX B	PROMETHEUS-H BASELINE PARAMETER LIST.....	B-1
APPENDIX C	INERTIAL FUSION ENERGY REACTOR DESIGN STUDIES COST BASIS.....	C-i
	INTRODUCTION.....	C-1
C.1	DEVELOPED ECONOMIC STUDY GUIDELINES.....	C-10
C.2	OVERALL COSTING AND ECONOMIC METHODOLOGY.....	C-14
C.3	CAPITAL COST ACCOUNTS.....	C-17
C.4	COST OF ELECTRICITY ACCOUNTS.....	C-37

LIST OF PAGES – VOL. I

title page
ii through xiv
ES-1 through ES-10
1-1 through 1-6
2-1 through 2-64

LIST OF FIGURES – VOL. I

<u>No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
ES-1	Prometheus-L Plant Site Trimetric View	ES-3
ES-2	Prometheus-H Plant Site Trimetric View	ES-3
ES-3	Capital Cost Comparison Between the Laser and Heavy Ion Plant Designs	ES-6
ES-4	Prometheus-L Reactor Building Provides Space for Shielded Beamlines	ES-7
ES-5	Prometheus-H Reactor Building Is Relatively Compact	ES-9
ES-6	Overall Plant Power Flow for Prometheus Baseline Designs	ES-10
2.3-1	Simple Power Flow Diagram for an Inertial Fusion Power Plant	2-4
2.3-2	Comparison of Baseline Gain Curves for KrF Laser and Heavy Ion Systems	2-5
2.3.1-1	System Performance Comparison for the Three Laser System Target Options Using Baseline Gain Curves.	2-6
2.3.1-2	COE Sensitivity to Prometheus-L Design and Performance Assumptions	2-7
2.3.2-1	Comparison of Projected COE and Drive Capital Cost for Multiple and Single Beam LINACs	2-8
2.3.2-2	COE Sensitivity to Prometheus-H Design and Performance Assumptions	2-10
2.4-1	Prometheus-L Site Plan	2-14
2.4-2	Raman Accumulator/SBS Cell/Dealy Line	2-15
2.4-3	Schematic of Laser Direct-Drive IFE Target Structure	2-19
2.4-4	Electric Discharge Laser Subsystem	2-20
2.4-5	Injection of the Stokes seed into the Raman accumulator cell permits the efficient extraction of the excimer pump beams into an 81 kJ beam having an aperture of 1.2 x 1.2 m	2-21
2.4-6	By frequency-"chirping" the leading edge of the SBS pumping pulse and reflecting it back upon the incoming pulse within an SF cell, it is possible to compress the RAC output pulse from 250 ns (or 500 ns)own to short pulse durations	2-21
2.4-7	Final Optics Configuration-Reactor Building	2-23
2.4-8	First Wall Panel; Cross Sectional View	2-24
2.4-9	Schematic of a Blanket Module	2-25
2.4-10	Elevation of Reactor Cavity Region Without Beamlines	2-26
2.4-11	3-D Beamline/Reactor Cavity Interface – Plan View	2-27
2.5-1	Heavy Ion Reactor Plant Site Plan	2-30
2.5-2	Beamline Configuration – Routing from LINAC to Reactor	2-35
2.5-3	Heavy Ion Beam Prepulse and Main Pulse Schematic	2-37
2.5-4	Physical Arrangement of Final Focus Coils, Plenum, and Shielding Penetrations	2-38
2.5-5	Prometheus-H Reactor Cavity Arrangement	2-39
2.6-1	Projected 100 MWe Demonstration Power Plant Gain Space Windows for the Prometheus-L Driver Configuration	2-44
2.6-2	Projected 100 MWe Demonstration Power Plant Gain Space Windows for the Prometheus-H Driver Configuration	2-44
2.6-3	Variation of Required TBR with Reactor Parameters	2-48
2.7-1	Evaluation Methodology Approach	2-56

LIST OF TABLES – VOL. I

<u>No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
ES-1	The Prometheus Power Plants Have Valuable and Attractive Features.....	ES-2
ES-2	Major Design Parameters and Features of the Prometheus Plants.....	ES-5
2.3.1-1	COE Sensitivity to Variations in Key Prometheus-L Design Parameters.....	2-7
2.3.2-1	COE Sensitivity to Variations in Key Prometheus-H Design Parameters.....	2-10
2.3.2-2	Projected Single and Multiple Beam LINAC Cost Comparison for 4 GeV, 7 MJ Drivers.....	2-11
2.4-1	Prometheus-L Major Design Parameters and Features.....	2-16
2.5-1	Prometheus-H Major Design Parameters and Features.....	2-31
2.5-2	Parameter Summary for Prometheus-H Driver Design.....	2-36
2.6-1	List of Critical Issues.....	2-43
2.6-2	Summary of EBEL and EDEL Development Issues.....	2-54
2.7-1	Summary of Scores for the Five Evaluation Areas.....	2-56