# **Carbon Velvet**

## **Dry Chamber Wall**

Timothy R. Knowles Energy Science Laboratories,Inc.

*HAPL Program Workshop, April 5, 2002 General Atomics, San Diego, California*

### **Contents**

Carbon Velvet Chamber Concept Chamber Wall Design Carbon Velvet Examples FY01 Testing High Fluence Sputter Exposure Results of RHEPP Exposure Results of Z Exposure FY01 Conclusions FY02 Plans



## Carbon Velvet Chamber Concept

Define "velvet" as array of well-oriented, straight, high aspect ratio fibers at modest packing density (<10 vol%) Normally incident beams then penetrate deeply over a large effective surface area at greatly reduced incident flux Sputtered material is trapped and potentially recycled Sharpened fiber tips can be electrostatically shielded Carbon fibers are well suited for the IFE environment Clean, strong, stiff, thermally conductive, refractory Potentially low retention of tritium

Typical Carbon Fiber Velvet (μm) Fiber packing fraction 1.5% Fiber length 2500 Fiber diameter 6.5 Fiber separation 41



## Velvet Chamber Wall Design

Configure carbon velvet so that…

Effective surface area is increased  $\sim 50x$ 

Ion beam penetration depth  $-\frac{1}{2}$  velvet height

Ions impinge on fiber shafts at near-grazing incidence Effective thermal conductance is adequate

Fiber tips are sharpened to reduce tip erosion

Suitable carbon velvet is: 6-μm *x* 4-mm, 5% packing fraction, fiber k=200 W/m-K, fiber tilt =  $0.1$  rad; then…

> $DelT = 200$  K, for 1 MW/m2 average thermal flux Surface area enhancement  $= 133$ Beam penetration length  $= 2$  mm



## Carbon Velvet Examples

### ESLI carbon velvets

Packing fractions 0.1 - 10% Fiber diameter 5 - 10 mm Fiber length 0.1 - 5 mm



Carbon-Fiber/Epoxy/ Aluminum



#### Carbon-Carbon



Bondline



Sharpened Tips



 $0.6 \; \mathrm{m}^2$ 

## High Fluence Sputter Exposure\*

Exposure to ungridded Ion Source (Hall-Effect Thruster) 400 V Xe+ ions at 65  $\mu$ A/cm2 for 200 hours (10<sup>-6</sup> torr chamber pressure)  $5x10^{-9}$  higher particle fluence than one RHEPP pulse  $(3-m)$  range) Velvet loses 10x less mass than Poco graphite that receded 25 μm Clear evidence of carbon redeposited at base of velvet



*\* Exposure of ESLI velvet by D. King, General Dynamics, Dec 2001*

**ESLI** Materials and Processing *HAPL Program Workshop - Velvet Chamber Wall <i>April 5, 2002 p.6* 

## **Results of RHEPP Exposure**

### **(SNL, T. Renk, April '01)**

## Carbon Velvet in RHEPP

### RHEPP Test

Horizontal fibers (6 μm diameter) eroded completely Vertical fiber shafts show no erosion Qualitatively lower mass erosion than POCO graphite





## Velvet Protects the Substrate

At the boundary of the velvet, the exposed substrate is heavily eroded (epoxy totally etched, alumina grains exposed, aluminum partly etched)

Under the velvet pile, the substrate shows little erosion (epoxy coating over aluminum survives)





![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Carbon Fiber Erosion

Horizontal fibers completely eroded Some fiber tip damage evident No chipping; no rounding Blunt tip recession could be many microns, but no length change diagnostic applied in this test Fiber shaft damage appears slight No apparent thermal ablation, even though horizontal fibers and the exposed substrate erode strongly No evidence of sputter redeposition, but it is difficult to resolve thin carbon redeposition on carbon!

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

. . . . . . . . .

## **Results of Z-Machine Exposure**

### **Z Shot #817 (SNL, T. Tanaka, 26-Oct-01)**

## Collimator Plate Before Z-Shot

ESLI collimator plate fabricated to permit eight test specimens to be mounted in front of MPRS\* target holder Four in front (uncollimated) Four in back (collimated) Rear specimens sample a range of intensities from ~300 cells, each with 0.6 mm<sup>2</sup> area Velvets have hundreds of fibers per 0.6-mm<sup>2</sup> cell

*\* MPRS = Multi-Purpose Radiation Shield*

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Collimator Plate After Z-Shot

- Collimator plate served well at filtering debris and enabling simultaneous test of multiple specimens
- Front specimens were heavily damaged by debris; back specimens were not

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

## High X-Ray Fluence Regions

Erosion of a backing plate provides witness for the X-ray fluence pattern Revealed here that only the upper portion of the sample holder received high fluence

> It was later confirmed that the MPRS sample holder was partially blocked by other components in the Z Machine

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Carbon Mirror Specimens

ESLI carbon mirrors consist of pyrocoated polished graphite The exposed front mirror specimen is covered with metallic debris from Z

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

The rear mirror specimen is only lightly sprinkled with particulate debris, both metallic and ceramic

The X-ray erosion patterns are clearly resolved

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Low Density Velvet (0.8%)

Rear specimen with little particulate debris Exposed cells are blacker As if non-vertical fibers have been vaporized ?

### Fibers exposed to X-ray pulse appear curved

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

## High Density Velvet (3.2%)

Rear specimen with little particulate debris This denser velvet appears more "disheveled" Possibly horizontal fibers have been "propelled" into the velvet, parting it

Fibers exposed to X-ray pulse appear curved

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **FY01 Conclusions**

## **FY02 Plans**

### Initial (FY01) exposure of carbon velvets on RHEPP show expected behavior

- Vertical fibers survive with little fiber shaft erosion Horizontal and leaning fibers erode completely
- No evidence of roughening of the fiber shafts or the substrate below the velvet

### Initial (FY01) exposure on Z

Compact ceramic collimator provides large-area high X-ray fluence (unfiltered) with minimal debris Velvets specimens disheveled as if horizontal fibers were propelled into the velvet by pulsed ablation But such high fluence (unfiltered) exposure is not relevant to direct drive IFE

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

RHEPP testing aiming at quantitative data on carbon fiber tip recession and shaft erosion

Test specimens planned for FY02 include:

- 1. Polished carbon velvet having all fiber tips in the same plane, to help resolve few-micron erosion Measure tip recession in SEM for ~50-shot RHEPP exposure Control specimens: carbon mirror, carbon fabric, metal
- 2. Metallized carbon velvet (eg. Au plasma coating) Use high-contrast SEM to identify where RHEPP beam erodes the thin metallic film

Compare data with beam penetration modeling

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)